Mr B. Barr, CEO, Australian Energy Market Commission, Level 15, 60 Castlereagh Street, Sydney, 2000.

Dear Mr Barr,

We've read a great deal lately concerning the report you are preparing for changes to the price per Kwt for solar panel energy back to the grid and why.

Needless to say, I am one of the disgruntled householders who do not find your suggestions palatable.

I've had panels on my roof since June 2009 and I remember the Government offering subsidies if not free installation of the panels as it was deemed that it was far better to have clean and green energy rather than be reliant on coal powered power stations. I also remember the time when I received 66c for each Kwt back to the grid. Admittedly the panels were of far lesser Kwt than today but there was a distinctive push to move away from traditional energy and like thousands of Australians, I took up the offer. I have since invested in panels with a higher capacity at my expense.

I not only invested in panels for a cleaner and greener energy but I was tired of increasing costs from the electricity companies who have been ripping the public off for years. Electricity is a mainstay in any household and should be affordable for all. We've read on numerous occasions that with lesser well-off people having to choose between food and heat because after rents are paid, there's not much in the cash tin left, well, I don't want to sit huddled in blankets or go to bed because I can't afford heating.

I for one do not like \$450 - \$550 accounts during the winter months as I like to be warm and cosy. I therefore invested in panels to alleviate these bills by having a credit when winter came around so that I could enjoy warmth. Now you are proposing to take that credit away from me and that doesn't sit well in my view.

If the grid can't take the energy supplied back to it and we have a congestion there - in your words a 'traffic jam', then I suggest you should find a way for the energy to be stored. After all, that's not a problem for the public and charging us isn't going to fix the problem. Eventually, something must be done to accommodate the additional power back to the grid as more and more homes are being built, many with solar panels. How

3/50 Spinifex Avenue, Tea <mark>Ga</mark>rdens, 23<mark>24.</mark>

1903 | XS AbabA

mand and

Australian Energy Market Commission,

___Clisval

50 Castlereagh Street,

Sydney, 2000

mad all mosc

We've read a greet deal laten, concerning the report you are preparing for changes to the price per kint for solar panel energy back to the grid and why.

bicediess to say, I am one of the desgraciled boasebolders who do not find your auggestiemt palatobia

If verball panels on my roof since June 2009 and I renember the Government effecting especially for free installation of the panels as it must deem to that it was for hafter to have deem and green energy rother than be reliant on wol wheared power stations. It also remains or the time that the fact of for loss of the panels were of the total received 66c for each Kut back to the grid. Admittanty the panels were of the total than today but those was a distinctive pash to move away from traditional with a higher appearance of each up the offer. I have sever invested in panels with a higher appearing of the exercise.

I ner only arasted in poneis for a cleaner and greater chergy and I was tired of marcasing austic from the alactricity companies who have have repoint the public off for years, whereas he is a manetar in any inductive and choose has modific for all. When the action as an augment of a manetar is any induced and the following in appear to also see hatter is seen well of people inverte to also see hatter white is seen well of people in the coorse hatter white is seen and the coorse had an induced and the or go to had have a made in the coorse his infigure. The coorse as the last while I would be of the coorse as the infigure.

I factorie de montrice 18400 - 18000 recentra during that control nowhat we think to be volta and copy, in the exfert formated in panals to allevial a these bris by having a credit reconvicted constant of the constant of the fact that whaten constant and that I could enjoy wormth, it we got and proporting to take that are dit may from one and that decimit sit with a my liew

If the gradual torcalita enough supplied back to it and we have a congestion there - in your wards of tratific and then I suggest you should find a way for the energy to be started - Effect the plusteens of the problem in problem for the pushe one charging us isn't going to fix the problem. Eventually, conceiving must be done to accommodate the odditional power hands in the cold torce odd there have seen built more with solar agents. How

ridiculous of you and your Commission to think that by charging the public, this is going to fix the problem. I think that's typical of narrow-mindedness thinking.

The Government and/or your Commission must have seen that this 'bottle neck' at the grid would happen eventually as thousands of households and businesses took up and are still taking up the Government's suggestion for clean and green electricity, and at the same time help alleviate huge electricity bills. I see this proposal of yours not being fair at all but about keeping the power in the hands of the few, namely, you are proposing to make the public pay for the huge amount of electricity generated while coal generators get off scot free.

No wonder there's a public backlash to your proposal. I think the public are sick and tired of the 'meanness' of Governments and 'big businesses' and this is no exception. The public, me amongst them, are sick and tired of Governments and 'big business' ripping us off at every turn. I could talk about the insurance industry, health, food and a few other businesses where the public is being slugged each year and there's no end to the huge costs we are being asked to pay.

So, perhaps the Commission can rethink what they are proposing and stop being in bed with 'big business' and particularly stop asking the man-in-the-street to pay what essentially is not their problem.

I notice that you are advising that any changes won't come into effect for years - well, they shouldn't come into effect at all but you should look at getting rid of the 'traffic jam' on the grid first and foremost, perhaps look into why the electricity companies charge so much and that might be a fairer way to deal with the problem rather than charge the public. It's time the Commission woke up to the problem the Government has essentially created.

le her striva ha bonder

Yours sincerely,

Christina La Ponder (Mrs).

ridiculous of you and your Commission to think that by charging the public, this is going to fix the problem. I think that's typical of narrow-mindedness thinking.

The Government and/or your Commission must have seen that this bottle neck at the grid would happen eventually as thousands of households and businesses took up and are still taking up the Government's suggestion for clean and green electricity, and at the same time help alleviate huge electricity bills. I see this proposal of yours not being fair at all but about keeping the power in the hands of the few, namely, you are proposing to make the public pay for the huge amount of electricity generated while coal generators get off scot free.

ble wander there's a public backlosh to your proposal. I thank the public are sick and tired of the meanness of Governments and big businesses' and this is no exception. The public, are amongst them, are sick and tired of Governments and big business' ripping as off at every turn. I could talk about the insurance industry, health, food and a few other businesses where the public is being slagged each year and there's no end to the hage costs we are being asked to pay.

So, perhaps the Commission can rethink what they are proposing and stop being in bed with 'big business' and particularly stop asking the man-in-the-street to pay what essentially is not their problem.

I notice that you are advising that any charges won't come who effect for peace - well they shouldn't creating and of the traffic they shouldn't creating and of the traffic femilian shouldn't creating and for the traffic femilian the specific on the grid first and forcenses, perhaps had anto what the point perhaps arises the shouldn't has a faired mark the death that the point the showers are charged to the point of the time that the showers are the point or after the coversment has a series or and the point or after the coversment has

views with artual C

Christian is the decire (Mars)