ATTACHMENT 1

stakeholder feedback template

The template below has been developed to enable stakeholders to provide their feedback on the questions posed in this paper and any other issues that they would like to provide feedback on. The AEMC encourages stakeholders to use this template to assist it to consider the views expressed by stakeholders on each issue. Stakeholders should not feel obliged to answer each question, but rather address those issues of particular interest or concern. Further context for the questions can be found in the consultation paper.

SUBMITTER DETAILS

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ORGANISATION:** |  |
| **CONTACT NAME:** |  |
| **EMAIL:** |  |
| **PHONE:** |  |

**CHAPTER 5** – sECTION 5.1 – COST BENEFIT OF NORTHERN TERRITORY LNG REPORTING ON THE BULLETIN BOARD

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. What bearing does information on the NT LNG projects upstream of the connection point with the lateral pipelines have on the domestic market? What is this information likely to be used for and who will benefit from its provision? |  |
| 1. What drawbacks are there to the domestic market of not being provided with information on these upstream activities? |  |
| 1. Are the additional administrative costs of reporting under Part 18 likely to be significant to the NT LNG operations. Would this data be captured as part of ongoing operations? |  |
| 1. In what respects are the NT LNG projects likely to suffer commercial disadvantages in the international market as a result of providing the information required under Part 18? |  |

**CHAPTER 5** – sECTION 5.2 – THE CONSIDERATIONS OF THE NT LNG PROJECTS IN MAINTAINING EMERGENCY SUPPLY ARRANGEMENTS

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. What are the likely direct and indirect costs of the projects reporting under Part 18? |  |
| 1. Is the replacement cost of emergency or back-up supply likely to be that much greater than existing agreements? |  |
| 1. What is the cost of upgrading the NGP to enable flow into the NT gas market? |  |
| 1. If the NGP is upgraded for bi-directional flow, is this supply less reliable than supply from the LNG projects, if so why? |  |

**CHAPTER 5** – sECTION 5.3 - Scope to exempt the NT LNG projects from part 18 reporting requirements under the existing rules

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. Clarification of the location of the natural gas processing facilities within each project and the point at which gas is processed into a form which is suitable for consumption will assist in determining the data required to be reported under the existing rules. |  |
| 1. Are there any circumstances in which rule 164(2) should not allow for an exemption for any flows of gas from the LNG projects to the connection to the domestic market? |  |

**CHAPTER 5** – sECTION 5.4 - Scope to exempt the NT LNG projects on the basis of a new exemption

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. How might the operation of the emergency supply arrangements be expected to change in the near future? |  |
| 1. In the event of plans to change the operation of the lateral pipelines connecting the projects with the domestic market, to what degree should the domestic market be informed of these changes in advance? |  |
| 1. In the event that a new exemption is granted in any rule made, is there any information that would be valuable to the domestic market, beyond what would be reported by PWC and APA on the connecting pipelines, for example planned shutdowns of the upstream facilities? |  |

**CHAPTER 5** – sECTION 5.5 - Issues arising from the offshore location of the production facilities

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. Are there any issues in relation to the location of the offshore facilities that the project team should be aware of in making a rule? |  |

**CHAPTER 5** – sECTION 5.6 - The impact of any rule made on the application of the tranche 2 bulletin board reforms

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. How might any rule made allow for reporting requirements that would be introduced under the tranche 2 reforms? |  |