Stakeholder feedback template

The template below has been developed to enable stakeholders to provide their feedback on the questions posed in this paper and any other issues that they would like to provide feedback on. The AEMC encourages stakeholders to use this template to assist it to consider the views expressed by stakeholders on each issue. Stakeholders should not feel obliged to answer each question, but rather address those issues of particular interest or concern. Further context for the questions can be found in the consultation paper.

Organisation:

Contact name:

Contact details (email / phone):

| Questions | Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| **Chapter 5 – Section 5.1.1 – Allocating volumes of unaccounted for energy** |
|  | To what extent is the full allocation of UFE to local retailers an issue? |  |
|  | What are the UFE costs and volumes for local retailers? |  |
|  | What are your views on AEMO's high level design for global settlement, generally and in relation to allocation of UFE? |  |
|  | What other UFE allocation methods could be suitable and why? |  |
| **Chapter 5 – Section 5.1.2 – Effect on pricing of unaccounted for energy costs** |
|  | How will local and independent retailers respond to change in the financial responsibility for UFE? In what way and to what extent? |  |
|  | Do you consider that a move to global settlement would affect retailer competition, and if so, how? How could these effects be addressed? |  |
| **Chapter 5 – Section 5.1.3 – Secondary price effects** |
|  | What are your views on the levels of any secondary price effects from global settlement? |  |
|  | How would UFE be treated under the LRET, the SRES and jurisdictional environmental schemes? |  |
|  | Under the proposed global settlement design, what information would be needed on settlement statements to support liability calculations for the LRET, the SRES and jurisdictional environmental schemes? |  |
| **Chapter 5 – Section 5.1.4 – Calculating unaccounted for energy - unmetered loads** |
|  | What are your views on the proposed method for calculating total UFE for a local area? |  |
|  | How should unmetered loads be managed? |  |
|  | What other categories of loads need to be considered in the UFE calculation? |  |
| **Chapter 5 – Section 5.2 – Treatment of virtual transmission nodes under global settlement** |
|  | Are VTNs still an appropriate mechanism for the NEM? |   |
|  | Which classes of customers would be affected if VTNs were removed? |  |
|  | What price effects would occur if VTNs were removed? |  |
|  | What are the possible options for treatment of VTNs should the proposed rule be made? Describe any other suitable options (or variations of the options presented). |  |
|  | Depending on how VTNs are treated under global settlement, DNSPs may incur a once-off cost associated with mapping existing VTN customer meters to a physical TNI. What costs, effort, benefits or synergies would be associated with this activity? |  |
| **Chapter 5 – Section 5.3 – Global settlement coverage** |
|  | Do you agree with AEMO's proposed coverage of global settlement? Are there other situations, perhaps legacy arrangements or future scenarios, where settlement by differencing should be maintained or used? |  |
| **Chapter 5 – Section 5.4.1 – Implementation timing** |
|  | What are your views on a start date for global settlement? |  |
|  | What are your views on a staged commencement of global settlement, for example, by jurisdiction or distribution area? How would a staged commencement best be implemented? |  |
|  | What are your views on aligning the IT system development for global settlement with that of five minute settlement? |  |
|  | What timeframes would be required for AEMO, retailers, DNSPs and MDPs to upgrade internal processes, procedures and IT systems for global settlement? |  |
| **Chapter 5 – Section 5.4.2 – Implementation costs and savings** |
|  | What are the costs, synergies and risks involved in upgrading IT systems to accommodate global settlement? |  |
|  | A move to global settlement would increase data handling because MDPs would need to send additional data to AEMO. What would the incremental cost of this activity be? |  |
|  | What level of savings would there be from MDPs no longer needing to support and deliver an AEMO specific data file? |  |
|  | What level of savings could be expected by retailers from reduction in settlement statement reconciliation? |  |
|  | Are there any other costs that market participants may incur if there is a move to global settlement? If so, what are they? |  |
|  | What contract issues need considering? |  |
| **Chapter 5 – Section 5.4.3 – Implementation – consideration of non-market generators** |
|  | How should non-market generators be accommodated under a global settlement framework? |  |
| **Other comments on the rule change request or consultation paper** |
|  | Do you have any other comments on the rule change request, high level design or the consultation paper? |  |